November 30, 1988 1834C:CS:clt:ssj Introduced by: Paul Barden

Proposed No.:

88-761

20_.

ORDINANCE NO. 8771

AN ORDINANCE setting forth policy direction for solid waste system alternatives to be included in the development of the King County comprehensive solid waste management plan, and amending Ordinance 7737, Sections 1 and 2 and K.C.C. 10.24.010 - .020.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Findings. The King County council finds:

- A. The Programmatic Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on Solid Waste Management Alternatives issued on September 30, 1988 is adequate for purposes of making policy decisions about which alternative strategies shall be included in the preparation of the 1989 King County solid waste management plan (CSWMP).
- B. The Programmatic EIS is the first step in a phased review of the 1989 King County CSWMP update. This phased review assists in focusing on the issues that are ready for decision and excluding from consideration issues already decided or not yet ready.
- C. The key issues that are ready for decision based on the Programmatic Final EIS are:
- The types of waste reduction and recyling programs that should be implemented to provide maximum reduction in King County's solid waste stream;
- 2. Whether some form of incineration is a necessary component of the county's solid waste management program; and
- 3. Whether other disposal options should be implemented to reduce the amount of waste going to the Cedar Hills Landfill.

5

8 9

10 11

13

12

15 16

14

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

- Further environmental review to the extent required by SEPA will be performed for facility siting, facility expansion, and the other issues included in the CSWMP but not addressed in this ordinance or in the Programmatic EIS.
- E. This ordinance will provide broad programmatic policy direction under which the King County solid waste management system shall be developed. Implementation of these policies will be described in the CSWMP and the annual budget process, and will include review by the Interlocal Forum and the King County solid waste advisory committee.
- F. The King County solid waste advisory committee, the council ad hoc committees and the designated Interlocal Forum on Solid Waste have reviewed and commented on the solid waste issues facing King County and the policies contained in this ordinance.
- G. Waste reduction and recycling are now basic elements of responsible solid waste management systems. Each citizen of the county must accept a commitment to waste reduction and recycling as a basic part of his/her social responsibility.
- H. Accomplishment of the waste reduction and recycling goals will require cooperation between the cities, private recycling, waste disposal businesses, and the county.
- I. A goal of the system is to minimize the amount of solid waste requiring disposal and to provide for disposal of the remainder in a manner that minimizes environmental risks and impacts.
- J. Programmatic choices, which affect individual behaviors, are preferable to facility choices, which accommodate existing behaviors. This hierarchy should apply within recycling alternatives, as well as between solid waste management alternatives, such as recycling, incineration, and landfilling.

20_.

L. Passage of state legislation establishing policies and clarifying various authorities related to waste reduction and

K. The public has expressed an interest in more convenient

- recycling is critical to the success of the waste reduction and recycling program.
- M. Notice has been received from the City of Seattle that it will no longer be a participant in the county waste disposal system on or before January 1, 1993.

SECTION 2. Definitions.

recycling opportunities.

- A. "Designated interlocal forum" means a group of representatives of unincorporated King County and of incorporated cities and towns within King County designated by the council of King County and by interlocal agreement with the cities in King County to discuss solid waste issues and facilitate regional interlocal cooperation in solid waste management.
- B. "Disposal" means the discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, leaking, or placing of any solid waste into or on any land or water.
- C. "Intermediate processing" means sorting of mixed recyclables from source separation programs to divide them into individual component recyclable materials or to process them for marketing.
- D. "Mixed waste processing" means sorting of solid waste after collection from the point of generation in order to remove recyclables from the solid waste to be disposed.
- E. "Receivers" means persons who will reuse recyclables if they are more readily available or cheaper and to whom source separated recyclables for which a market does not presently exist can be delivered at little or no cost in order to avoid landfilling the materials pending development of economic markets.

- F. "Recyclables" means any material that can be kept out of or recovered from solid waste and the resources therein be transformed and/or reused including, but not limited to, mixed paper, newsprint, cardboard, aluminum, glass, plastics, chemicals, oil, wood, compostable organics (food and yard debris), ferrous metal, and inorganics (rubble and inert material).
- G. "Recycling" means either source separation or the processing of solid waste mechanically or by hand to segregate materials for sale or reuse. Materials which can be removed through recycling include but are not limited to mixed paper, newsprint, cardboard, aluminum, glass, plastics, chemicals, oil, wood, compostable organics (food and yard debris), ferrous metal, and inorganics (rubble and inert material). Recycling does not include combustion of solid waste or preparation of a fuel from solid waste.
- H. "Reuse" means the return of a commodity into the economic stream for use.
- I. "Source separation" means the process of separating recyclable materials from material which will become solid waste at its source.
- J. "Waste reduction" means the reduction through techniques such as source reduction, reuse, recycling, reclamation, or resource recovery other than incineration, of the amount of waste that would otherwise be landfilled.

SECTION 3. Solid Waste System Alternatives.

The 1989 comprehensive solid waste management plan which is being prepared by the King County solid waste division for review by the designated Interlocal Forum and approval by the appropriate parties as set forth by state law shall include the following policies:

- A. A waste reduction and recycling goal of sixty-five percent, to be achieved within twelve years. Interim goals of thirty-five percent within three years (1992) and fifty percent within six years (1995) are shorter range goals to measure progress. At each of these checkpoints the recycling levels will be assessed pursuant to section 4.E. of this ordinance and, if necessary, the waste reduction and recycling program will be adjusted and prohibition of certain recyclables from disposal at Cedar Hills landfill shall be considered in order to assure achievement of the sixty-five percent goal. An annual report on progress towards the waste reduction and recycling goals will be prepared by the King County solid waste division pursuant to Ordinance 7786, Section 7.
- B. Programs to reduce yard waste, including an extensive backyard composting program, support for curbside collection of yard waste, a neighborhood yard waste drop box program, and support for yard waste processing facilities.
- C. Programs to reduce residential waste, based on waste reduction and source separation of recyclables from solid waste and including curbside collection of recyclables from all residents living in the urbanized portions of King County. The county will work with affected jurisdictions and service providers to facilitate provision of curbside collection of recyclables in areas where housing density makes this practice feasible. Containers or other systems to collect recyclables from apartments and condominiums should also be provided, and convenient and comprehensive drop box sites for collection of source separated recyclables should be located in rural King County.

- D. Programs to reduce non-residential waste, based on waste reduction and source separation of recyclable material from solid waste and including a comprehensive technical assistance program for the commercial sector that provides waste audits and assistance in establishing waste reduction and recycling mechanisms, as well as facilitating collection of source separated recyclable material.
- E. Development of private sector recycling facilities which complement the waste reduction and recycling program emphasis on source separation of recyclables, including yard waste processing and intermediate processing facilities,
- F. Authorization for one privately owned and operated mixed waste processing facility to which a portion of the county's waste stream will be designated to supplement source separation and to evaluate the long-term benefits, costs and risks of mixed waste processing in combination with extensive source separation programs.
- G. Authorization of out of county landfilling as part of the county's solid waste system.
- H. If an out of county landfill option is implemented, King County shall continue a level of operation at Cedar Hills Landfill at least adequate to allow use of Cedar Hills as a backup system for King County waste, excluding waste from the City of Seattle, if necessary due to an emergency or failure of the out-of-county landfill alternative.
- I. An energy/resource recovery facility shall not be included as a waste disposal alternative in the 1989 CSWMP and shall not be constructed.
- J. The City of Seattle will plan separately for disposal of its own waste, including commercial waste, special waste, demolition and construction debris, as well as residential waste.

1. The executive shall prepare a solid waste financing study and rate proposal which provides for funding the waste reduction and recycling programs needed to achieve the goals established in Section 3.A. of this ordinance as well as funding

established Section 3 of this ordinance.

A. Rates.

to support ongoing operations requirements of the King County Solid Waste Division. The rate which is proposed shall be a single rate for disposal of waste at Cedar Hills Landfill or another final disposal site and a single rate for use of county transfer stations and rural landfills. The financial and policy implications of an increase in disposal rates, and the effect it will have on recycling programs and other system operations and

SECTION 4. The executive shall implement the following

strategies directed at the waste reduction and recycling goals

programs, shall be fully analyzed. In addition, the possibility of establishing a surcharge for handling special wastes, such as

asbestos, at Cedar Hills Landfill should be analyzed.

- 2. The executive shall work with cities and the WUTC to support collection rates which involve higher rates for higher volumes of mixed waste.
- B. Promotion, Education and Public Involvement. An extensive 2-tiered promotion, education, and public involvement effort shall be implemented to carry out the waste reduction and recycling program. The goal of public education in King County's waste reduction and recycling program is to provide various audiences with information and technical assistance which will change their attitudes and behavior about waste disposal. The two distinct tiers should include: 1) awareness building; and 2) technical assistance. The following WR/R education programs should be provided: resource center and educational materials;

technical assistance and training; school programs; and publicity and demonstrations. The following audiences should be targeted: city governments; commercial and institutional sectors; schoolchildren; households; and media.

- C. Market Support. The executive shall submit to the council an expanded procurement policy directed toward stimulating demand for and use of recyclable materials recovered from solid waste. Other municipalities and the private sector should be encouraged to adopt procurement policies that favor recycled and recyclable materials. In addition, the division shall assist the private sector in identifying receivers for recyclables for which markets do not exist but which are under development.
- D. Marketing Council. King County will support state legislation to develop a marketing council comprised of government officials, business representatives, recyclers, and others, to promote research and development of new uses for recycled materials, match recyclers with persons interested in purchasing their end-product, and keep apprised of the latest developments in recycling markets. The executive shall develop a local marketing council if the state does not create such an entity.
- E. Monitoring. The executive shall establish an annual monitoring program to measure waste reduction and recycling levels, and to provide information on where improvements can be made. The program should evaluate four sets of information: the quantity and composition of the waste stream as generated; the sources of waste, by waste stream component; the quantities and types of materials being recycled; the quantities and types of waste being disposed; and the amount of recycling that is occurring in different sectors of the economy.

SECTION 5. State Legislation. It is county policy to seek legislation, in addition to that referenced in Section 4.D. of this ordinance, which will accomplish the following:

- A. Authorize the county to establish the minimum level of recycling and mixed waste collection services to be provided in unincorporated areas of the county and in any incorporated areas for which the county has solid waste planning authority or in which the city or town is not providing or contracting for solid waste collection services.
- B. Discourage waste generation and encourage the use of recycled materials, including establishment of disincentives for unnecessary packaging, incentives to encourage the production and use of recycled materials, and packaging standards and labeling requirements to guide the development of packaging and to inform consumers about the impacts of their product choices.
- C. Strengthen the state's involvement in implementing its waste reduction and recycling priorities by the establishment of reporting or licensing requirements for entities that collect or process recyclables and also by monitoring per capita waste generation rates.
- D. Development of minimum requirements for new construction to provide waste reduction and recycling opportunities.
- SECTION 6. The Executive shall use the following procedures to select the vendors referenced in Sections 3.F. and 3.G. of this ordinance.
 - A. Mixed waste processing.

The vendor to provide mixed waste processing shall be selected through an RFQ/RFP and the plant shall be coordinated with the county transfer system. The project RFQ shall include criteria to establish demonstrated vendor performance, guaranteed level of waste reduction, costs comparable to other disposal

alternatives authorized in the CSWMP, and marketable by-products from the process.

- B. Out of county landfilling. The out of county landfilling alternative which is designated must be selected through an RFQ/RFP process using the following criteria:
- 1. Landfill operations and transportation systems must meet all applicable environmental standards.
 - 2. There are assurances of host community receptivity.
- 3. There is assurance of long-term waste stream diversion from Cedar Hills Landfill (i.e., a minimum volume guaranteed for 10-20 years), including guaranteed backup.
- 4. There are adequate assurances of vendor financial and legal capability to indemnify the county from risks of liability for out of county operations.
 - 5. There are penalties for non-performance by the vendor.
- 6. The life cycle cost of the proposal is financially competitive in comparison to other disposal options. Cost considerations include.
- a. Direct and indirect costs of both the proposal and any additional King County operating and/or capital costs; and
 - b. Effect of proposal on the following system costs:
 - (1) Annual landfill reserve fund contributions.
 - (2) Cedar Hill new area development costs.
 - (3) Effect on E/RR reserve fund.
- 7. The proposal is feasible in terms of its impact on the county transfer system and other county operations.

SECTION 7. Ordinance 7737, section 1 as amended and K.C.C. 10.24.010 are hereby amended as follows:

Definitions. For the purposes of this chapter, the following definitions shall apply in the interpretation and enforcement of this chapter:

- A. "Division" means the solid waste division of the King County public works department.
- B. "Designated interlocal forum" means a group of representatives of unincorporated King County and of incorporated cities and towns within King County designated by the council of King County and by interlocal agreement with the cities in King County to discuss solid waste issues and facilitate regional interlocal cooperation in solid waste management. ((The-Puget Sound-Gouneil-of-Governments-shall-be-the-designated-interlocal forum:))
- C. "Disposal site" means a site or sites approved by the council of King County where any final treatment, utilization, processing or disposition of solid waste occurs. This includes, but is not limited to, transfer stations included as part of the county disposal system, sanitary landfills, incinerators, composting plants, and facilities for the recovery of energy resources from solid wastes or the conversion of the energy from such wastes to more useful forms or combinations thereof.
- D. "Hazardous waste management plan" means a plan for managing moderate risk wastes, pursuant to RCW 70.105.220.
- E. "King County Solid Waste Advisory Committee" means the committee formed pursuant to King County Ordinance 6862 and RCW Chapter 70.95 to advise the county on solid waste management planning, assist in the development of programs and policies concerning solid waste management, and review and comment on the plan and other proposed solid waste management rules, policies or ordinances prior to adoption.
- F. "Moderate risk waste" means (a) any waste that exhibits any of the properties of hazardous waste but is exempt from regulation under RCW Chapter 70.105 solely because the waste is generated in quantities below the threshold for regulation, and

6

9

12

13

11

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

28

27

29 30

31 32

33

(b) any household wastes which are generated from the disposal of substances identified by the Department of Ecology as hazardous household substances.

G. "Plan" means the coordinated comprehensive solid waste management plan for the county as required by RCW Chapter 70.95 SECTION 8. Ordinance 7737, Section 2 as amended and K.C.C.

10.24.020 are hereby amended as follows:

Responsibility. A. The division shall prepare the plan and submit it to the council of King County ((ne-later-than-December 1988)) on or before March 1, 1989, for adoption.

B. The division shall maintain the plan in a current condition and shall propose necessary plan revisions to the council at least once every three years.

((G: The-division-shall-request-each-city-in-the-county-to provide-to-the-division-by-December-31,-1986,-written notification-of-its-intent-to-plan-independently;-plan-jointly with-the-county-or-to-authorize-the-county-to-prepare-a-plan-for that-eity-as-part-of-the-county-plan.--Pursuant-to-RGW-70.95.130. any-eity-preparing-an-independent-plan-or-failing-to-notify-the eounty-by-December-31,-1986-of-its-intent-to-plan-jointly-with the-county-or-to-authorize-the-county-to-plan-for-that-city; shall-be-prohibited-from-disposing-its-solid-waste-at-any-county disposal-site-until-or-unless-specifically-authorized-by-the-King Gounty-council-to-do-so:--Any-city-which-plans-jointly-with-King Gounty-plans-shall-enter-into-an-interlocal-agreement-with-the eounty-by-June-30;-1988-and-shall-submit-its-portion-of-the-plan pursuant-to-that-agreement:---The-division-shall-notify-a-eitywhich-submits-a-plan-or-portion-of-a-plan-to-the-county-of-any areas-of-incompatibility-between-the-city-and-county-plans.

D. The-executive-shall-notify-the-State-of-Washington

Department-of-Ecology-and-the-Puget-Sound-Gouncil-of-Governments

by-September-1,-1986,-of-the-county-s-intent-to-prepare-the-plane))

- $((E_{\overline{*}}))\underline{C}$. The King County solid waste advisory committee shall review and comment upon the proposed plan prior to its submittal to the council for adoption.
- $((F_{\overline{\bullet}}))\underline{D}$. The designated interlocal forum shall have the following responsibilities:
- 1. Advise the King County council and executive and other jurisdictions as appropriate on all policy aspects of solid waste management and planning. Consult with and advise the King County solid waste division on technical issues.
- 2. Review and comment on alternatives and recommendations for the county comprehensive solid waste management plan and facilitate approval of plan by each jurisdiction.
- 3. Review proposed interlocal agreement between King County and cities for planning, recycling, and waste stream control.
 - 4. Review disposal rate proposals.
- 5. Review status reports on waste stream reduction, recycling, energy/resource recovery, and solid waste operations with inter-jurisdictional impact.
- 6. Promote information exchange and interaction between waste generators, local governments with collection authority, recyclers, and county planned and operated disposal system.
- 7. Provide coordination opportunities between King County solid waste division, local governments, private operators and recyclers.
- 8. Aid cities in recognizing municipal solid waste responsibilities, including collection and recycling, and effectively carrying out those responsibilities.
 - $((G_{\tau}))E$. The council shall hold a public hearing on the

draft plan and another public hearing on the final plan prior to adoption of the plan. Any city using county disposal sites shall be notified of these public hearings and shall be requested to comment on the plan.

((H-))F. Until adoption of the plan by ordinance of King county the 1982 Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan prepared by the Puget Sound Council of Governments shall be used as the solid waste management plan for King County.

 $((I_7))G$. Beginning in 1989, the division shall submit to the council by September 1 of each year an annual report of its progress toward objectives identified in the plan. By-September 1,-1987,-and-September-1,-1988,-the-division-shall-submit-annual reports-of-its-progress-toward-development-of-interlocal agreements-with-cities-using-county-disposal-sites,-waste reduction-program-activities,-energy/resource-recovery implementation,-and-other-division-objectives.

1	$((J_{\overline{\bullet}}))H$. Interlocal agreements between the county and cities
2	wishing to plan jointly with the county or to authorize the
3	county to plan for it shall identify which party is responsible
4	for city solid waste operational plans, tonnage forecasts and
5	recycling goals.
6	INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this day
7	of October, 1988.
8	PASSED this 5th day of December, 1988.
9	KING COUNTY COUNCIL
10	KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
11	Lary Thank
12	ATTEST:
13	ATTEST:
14	Davidy M. Awene
15	APPROVED this 15th day of December, 1988
16	APPROVED this 15 day of December, 1988
17	King County Executive
18	King county Executive
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	